

2

**COURT NO. 3, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

T.A. No. 618 OF 2009

WP(C) No. 325 OF 2000 of Delhi High Court

IN THE MATTER OF :

Lt. Col. J.P. Verma

.....Applicant

VERSUS

Union of India & Others

.....Respondents

Dated: 16-03-2010

Present: None for the Applicant.
 Mr. Anil Gautam, counsel for the Respondents.

Perused the record.

Despite notice, none appeared on behalf of the Applicant. From the perusal of the record, it reveals that Applicant has challenged the recommendation order of termination of services. Learned counsel for the Respondents submits that the said order was not made effective and Applicant was superannuated on 31-08-2001 and he is no more on roll since then. He requests that since no cause of action subsists, therefore, present application may be dismissed.

Considering the facts of the case and above submissions as none appeared on behalf of the Applicant despite notice, no fruitful purpose shall be served in keeping this case pending. Hence, in these circumstances, without observing anything on the merits of the case, case is dismissed for non appearance. File be consigned to Record Room.

**MANAK MOHTA,
(Judicial Member)**

**Z.U. SHAH,
(Administrative Member)**

Dated: 16-03-2010